CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY

MINUTES OF 15TH MEETING OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

MONDAY 13 MARCH 1995

Present

Ramaphosa MC (Chairperson)

Asmal K Mdladlana MMS (alt)

Bhabha M Meshoe KR Camerer S (alt) Mtshali LPHM

Chabane OC Mulder CP

De Beer SJ Myakayaka-Manzini YL

De Lange JH Ngcuka BT

Du Toit DC
Eglin C
Pandor GNM
Fourie A
Rabie JA
Gogotya NJ
Rabinowitz R
Gordhan PJ
Radue R (alt)
Green LM (alt)
Hofmeyr WA (alt)
Schutte DPA
Holomisa SP
Seaton SA (alt)

Holomisa SP Seaton SA (alt)
Kgoali JLB Sifora TVT
Kota ZA Sizani RK
Ligege MG Smith PF
Lockey D Van Breda A
Mahlangu NJ Van Deventer FJ (alt)

Mahlangu Van Heerden FJ (alt)

Malatsi DM (alt) Viljoen CL

Marais PG Wessels L (Deputy Chairperson)

Mchunu ES

Alternates for this meeting : the IFP gave notice that P Powell would replace S Mzimela ; the NP gave notice that SJ De Beer would replace C Ackerman and that G Koornhof would replace T J King; the ANC gave notice that L Sisulu would replace L Ngwane.

Apologies : Mv Moosa, B Nzimande, BS Mabandla, J Schreiner (for TC6.4), C Ackerman, TJ King, S Mzimela, B Kgositsile, L Ngwane.

Absent: Fraser-Moleketi GJ, Ginwala FN, Makhanya DW, Moosa M (Sen).

1. OPENING

Mr Ramaphosa opened the meeting at 14h05. Apologies and replacements were announced as above. The Agenda was adopted with the following additions to item 6.

6. AOB

- 6.1 Report from sub-committee on technical experts
- 6.2 Proposal on amending work programme
- 6.3 Report from TC5 on correctional services, Human Rights Commission and Public Protector

2. MINUTES

- 2.1 The minutes of the 14th meeting of the Constitutional Committee were adopted with the following corrections:
 - i) Item 4.3.2 on page 5 : would be amended such that the ff. sentence would be added :

It was further noted that Theme Committees would need to work more closely with technical experts to ensure that parties did not place issues under contentious matters because of differing interpretations of constitutional principles.

ii) Item 4.4.3 on page 6: would be amended to add the following:

Regarding, Item 5.3 iv on page 5 of the Documentation of 6 March 1995 which reads:

" Each province must be equipped to provide for the special needs and capacities of its inhabitants":

the meeting agreed to accept point 10 on the understanding that it did not imply assymetrical powers for the provinces;

It was noted that in the meeting of 13 March 1995, the IFP had stated that it disagreed and that in its interpretation, this did imply assymmetrical powers for the provinces.

iii) Item 7.2.1 on page 11: would be amended to add the following:

It was also agreed that where parties wished to ask members from particular Theme Committees to attend and participate in Constitutional Committee

members to replace full members of this committee, procedure needed to be followed and the Chairperson formally notified.

iv) Item 6.5.1 on page 9 would be amended to read:

"The following points were raised in discussion on the issue of whether provinces should have the right to declare a State of Emergency involving a suspension of human rights:"

- iv) It was noted that Mr Asmal had forwarded apologies for the meeting of 6 March 1995.
- 2.2 It was agreed that minutes should not contain the names of persons who made statements. Reference should only be made to the party whose views they were representing.

3. MATTERS ARISING

Regarding item 6. 4 in the minutes of the previous meeting, which reads:

"The constitution should provide for presidential power to declare a state of emergency, and to regulate a suspension of human rights in that context, and right of parliament to review/overturn such decisions."

and which had been referred to Theme Committee 4, it was explained that the Theme Committee would not conclude the matter. It would report back to the Constitutional Committee, to see how to take the matter forward. This was in response to a question as to whether Theme Committee 6.4 should not continue to deal with the procedural aspects, while Theme Committee 4 dealt with the limitation of human rights.

4. CONT. OF DISCUSSION OF THEME COMMITTEE 6.4 REPORT

The meeting continued its discussion of the report from Theme Committee 6.4 on the first block of the work programme, which it had not completed by the close of the previous meeting.

4.1 Regarding Point 5 on page 37 of the Documentation of the Constitutional Committee of Monday 6 March 1995 on which no contention is reported and which reads:

The following was noted:

[&]quot; Declaration of a state of national defence/war."

- i) This matter had been referred to the CA for further debate;
- ii) The document prepared by the IFP on the matter would be circulated and the IFP could make further input at the CA.
- 4.2 On the two issues requiring clarity on page 37 which read:
 - "1. Time period allowed for the review of such a decision by Parliament.
 - 2. Deployment of the Defence Force within South Africa and relationship between Police and Defence Force within this deployment."

It was noted:

- i) It would be useful if the TC could do some work on the ff issues : as to whether there is a review of this executive power; and whether there can be a time period allowed for review of the decision;
- ii) Two separate issues were in fact being dealt with:
 - a) Confirmation of decision by parliament and no character in terms of time frames when that confirmation should be done
 - b) Review of decision already confirmed by parliament.
- iii) Was there another mechanism if parliament could not be convened?

It was agreed these issues would acquire greater clarity as the Theme Committee continued with its work.

4.3 Regarding point 6 on page 38 on which there are 2 points of contention and which reads:

" Definition of action in the national interest and national security.":

It was agreed that because there was not enough detail on what exactly is in contention, the Constitutional Committee would wait for further detail in the next report. It was suggested the Theme Committee could shape this view within the broad parameters of the values that could underlie the work of the security apparatus.

4.4 Regarding point 7 on page 39 on which no contention is reported and whch reads:

"Parliamentary oversight":

It was agreed there was agreement on the broad principle and the Constitutional Committee would look at the Theme Committee's report on the next block for further detail on the application of this principle. 4.5 Regarding point 8 on page 40 on which contention is reported and which reads:

"Executive control.":

It was noted that the point under contention " national and provincial competencies" would be fleshed out as the work of Theme Committee 3 progresses. It was agreed that Theme Committee 6.4 should synchronise its work in this regard with the work of Theme Committee 3 when it deals with the competencies of provinces in their totality. It was also noted that further clarity would emerge from Theme Committee 6.4 when the specific agencies were dealt with.

4.5.1 Regarding the 2nd point of agreement on point 2 on page 40 which reads:

"The Constitution should provide that each arm of the security apparatus is accountable to a member of the Executive at the appropriate level of competence ".

It was noted that with regard to the words "appropriate level of competence" no suggestion had yet been made of individual ministers for intelligence, for example, for each province but there is strong contention that there should be provincial MEC's for safety and security; and further that more detail would emerge when the Theme Committee moved onto the specific agencies in the next blocks of the work programme.

4.6 Regarding point 9 on page 41 on which there is no contention and which reads:

"Civilian control of the Ministry" :

It was agreed the Theme Committee should in future provide some indication to the Constitutional Committee of how it intends that issues requiring further clarity should be dealt with. It was further agreed that where general agreement was reported in a Theme Committee report, some preliminary discussion could take place on issues requiring further clarity but that the matter should be referred immediately for drafting. If the drafters have an answer, they would deal with it and if not, the issue would be debated once the draft text is brought back to the Constitutional Committee.

The following points were noted:

- i) An ex-soldier should be regarded as a civilian;
- ii) The issue of the chain of command and its relationship to civilian control is important as it affects accountability and should be contained in the constitution; the danger of civil control and military and police executive is

that of something falling between the 2 seats and needs to be very clear, the chain of command from national to provincial levels is also important and needs to be very clear.

It was agreed these issues would be further dealt with once draft text was available.

4.7 Regarding point 10 on page 41 on which there is no contention and which reads :

" Judicial and legal control ":

It was confirmed that the Constitution should state that the security apparatus should act within the law, Bill of Rights, Constitution and relevant international law and conventions on armed conflict.

4.8 Regarding point 11 on page 41 on which contention is reported and which reads :

" Ombud structures":

It was agreed that this matter should be referred for drafting with various options being presented.

The following was noted in discussion:

- Disagreement was more apparent than real in that there is broad agreement on the principle but that appropriate structures need to be agreed upon;
- ii) The Ombudsperson referred to here was a very specialised function and should not be confused or conflated with that of the Public Protector;
- iii) The need for an Ombudsperson within the security apparatus was not meant to undermine the role of the national Ombudsperson but was required to cater for the unique situation within each arm of the security apparatus;
- iv) Whilst a Defence Ombudsperson may be required, this was not neccessarily so in the case of the police services;
- v) Should avoid a tendency of creating too many structures in the constitution in view of the cost implications;
- vi) The creation of Ombudspersons, particularly in the police services, could assist in enabling one to deal more effectively with police corruption.
- 4.9 Regarding point 12 on page 42 on which there is contention and which reads:

"Rights of members of the security apparatus in relation to the state.":

It was agreed drafting should proceed to allow the Constitutional Committee to take the matter forward in considering the various options.

The following was noted in discussion:

- Two views were expressed on the amount of detail required: The first was that a lot of the detail on this point should be contained in the Code of Conduct or legislation and not in the Constitution; the second view was that because of the history of South Africa there was a need for more detail in the Constitution itself.
- ii) The wording of S226(7) of the Interim Constitution should be taken into account:
- iii) The word " curtail " should be replaced by the word "prohibit" in the first point under agreement which reads " The constitution should curtail the rights of members of the security apparatus to strike.".
- 4.10 Regarding point 13 on page 43 on which there is no contention and which reads :

"Political neutrality of security apparatus.":

It was agreed that:

- 1. The Constitution should provide that members of the security apparatus should be educated to be conversant with the law, Constitution, international law and Conventions on armed conflict and human rights.
- 2. The security apparatus constitute non-partisan professional bodies; the security apparatus themselves cannot participate in partisan political activity and cannot allow partisan political activity within themselves.
- 4.11 Regarding Part 3 of the report on page 44 45 it was agreed these would be dealt with once reports were received on further blocks of the work programme from the Theme Committee.
- 4.12 It was agreed to request the Theme Committee to look for another word to replace the word " apparatus" in the phrase " security apparatus" because of the possible negative contentions thereto.
- 4.12 It was agreed the report would be referred for drafting on both contentious and non-contenious points. On contentious points the drafters would be requested to provide options for further consideration in the Constitutional Committee.

4.13 The Constitutional Committee thanked Theme Committee 6.4 for the report and urged it to proceed to the next block of the work programme.

5. DISCUSSION OF THEME COMMITTEE 1 REPORT

- 5.1 The report on page 46 91 was presented by Mr PG Marais who spoke briefly to the document contained in the documentation of the Constitutional Committee of 6 March 1995.
- 5.1.1 Mr Marais pointed out two corrections to the document entitled " Supplementary Report to Amended Report from Theme Committee 1 " :
 - i) The word "varying" to replace the word "verifying" in the 5th sentence of the 5th paragraph on page 53 such that the sentence will read "The PAC propagates the most limited powers for provincial and local government (adminstrative and advisory) while the FF, the DP, the NP and the ACDP argued to varying degrees for systems where central government is limited but effective with strong provincial and local government."; and
 - ii) On page 55, paragraph H, the sentence is amended to read in part: "There is no disagreement between the parties, with the exception of the ACDP and IFP, on the fact that the constitution should provide for ..."
- 5.1.2 It was agreed to use the Analytical Survey contained in Additional Documentation for 13 March 1995 for purposes of discussion in the meeting. (
 Note: all references to page numbers from hereon refer to the Survey, in Additional Documentation) It was noted that this survey had not come before the Theme Committee but had been provided by the Law Advisors on the instructions of the Management Committee to facilitate discussion in the Constitutional Committee.
- 5.2 Regarding point 1 on page 1 which reads:

"Supremacy of Constitution":

It was agreed this matter should be referred for drafting and further debate would take place at that point .

5.3 Regarding point 2 on page 1 which reads:

" Political system":

5.3.1 It was agreed this was a restatement of CP VIII and that the agreement on non-

contentious aspects would be fleshed out by TC 1, 2 and 4 in Block 6.

- 5.3.2 Regarding the matters raised by the IFP in the meeting, viz:
 - 1. The principle of political representation of government and regular elections should be constitutionally entrenched with respect to national, provincial and "primary" local governments (excluding local government substructrures, including traditional communities);
 - 2. The implementation of this principle with respect to provincial and local government shall be within the exclusive competence of the Provinces;
 - 3. The electoral system is a fundamental element characterising the form of government and the type of democracy. Therefore the constitution should set out the general principles of the national electoral system, leaving to the the law the task of implementing such principles so as to leave sufficient flexibility for future developments. The provincial electoral systems should be within the exclusive competence of provincial constitutions:

It was agreed these would be clarified in later blocks as outlined above. The Chairperson pointed out however that Constitutional Principles could not be qualified.

5.4 Regarding point 3 on page 2 on which there is agreement and which reads:

"Electoral system":

It was agreed this was a restatement of CP VIII. The agreement lacked specifics and further clarity was needed. TC 2 would provide further clarity on national and provincial electoral systems in Block Six.

5.5 Regarding point 4 on page 2 on which there is agreement and which reads:

"Fundamental rights":

It was agreed this was a restatement of CPII. Detail is required on manner of entrenchmment (special majorities etc) and court system. It was noted that TC 4 and 5 will provide further clarity.

5.6 Regarding point 5 on page 2 on which there is agreement and which reads:

"Normative values underlying Constitution" and which listed the ff. values : Accountability, Democracy, Equality, Freedom and Transparency:

5.6.1 It was agreed these, and other (non-contentious) principles will underlie the whole Constitution and that all provisions of the Constitution should give effect to

them. No specific drafting instructions were required. However, drafters of the new text should see how this permeates the Constitution as a whole. It was also noted that TC 4 would provide further clarity.

- 5.6.2 Mr Eglin suggested that specific drafting instructions may have to be given; in that there may be values so important that they should be mentioned as fundamental principles even in the new constitution binding all organs of government, either by way of the preamble or by way of general principle. This was noted with a request that the DP and other political parties make a specific submission in this regard.
- 5.7 Regarding point 6 on page 3 on which there is agreement and which reads:

"Accountability ":

It was agreed the executive would be accountable to parliament but that the manner in which the executive will be accountable will be developed further by TC 2 in Block 2.

5.8 Regarding point 7 on page 3 on which there is agreement and which reads:

" South African citizenship":

It was agreed there would be common South African citizenship but this was a restatement of CP i. Clarity is needed on the particular aspects of citizenship that need to be entrenched in the Constitution. TC 1 will deal with citizenship in greater detail in Block Six and drafting instructions can stand over.

5.9 Regarding point 8 on page 3 which reads:

"Establishment of one, sovereign state":

It was agreed with regard to the non-contentious aspects which reads:

"South Africa to be sovereign, independent, undivided State":

to use the wording of CP I: "The Constitution of South Africa shall provide for the establishment of one sovereign state ... "

It was further agreed that the concept of " one sovereign state " is an agenda item for TC 1 in Block 2 and that drafting instructions can stand over to Block Two.

5.10 Regarding point 9 on page 3 on which there is agreement which reads:

" Horizontal separation of powers ":

It was agreed this is a restatement of CP VI.

5.11 Regarding point 10 on page 4 on which there is agreement and which reads:

"Levels of government":

It was agreed levels of government need to be further developed and that this falls within TC 2's mandate.

5.12 Regarding point 11on page 4 on which there is contention and which reads:

"Vertical separation of powers":

It was agreed this issue falls within TC 3's mandate and that the powers and functions of the judiciary would be dealt with by TC 5.

5.13 Regarding point 12 on page 4 on which there is contention and which reads:

" Parliament's supremacy as law-maker":

It was agreed that the matters in contention fell within TC 3's mandate and the matter would be further considered once a draft was provided. It was further noted that TC 1 will also deal with provincial autonomy in Block 2.

5.14 Regarding point 13 on page 4 on which there is contention and which reads:

"parliamentary structure":

It was agreed that the question of a second chamber is to be dealt with by TC 2 in Block 2.

5.15 Regarding point 14 on page 5 on which there is contention and which reads:

"Collective rights of self-determination":

It was agreed that TC 1 will develop the question of self-determination within the context of " one sovereign state" in Block Two and that TC 2 is also dealing with this issue. The non-contentious aspect agreed to i.e. recognition and protection of collective rights of organs of civil society, including linguistic and cultural associations, need fleshing out before drafting instructions should be given. It was noted that TC 4 would also be dealing with this aspect.

5.16 Regarding point 15 on page 5 on which there is contention and which reads:

"Minority party participation in government":

It was agreed that the question of minority parties' participation in executive structures will be dealt with by TC2.

5.17 Regarding point 16 on page 5 on which there is contention and which reads:

" Participatory democracy":

It was agreed this would be dealt with TC 1 in Block Two.

5.18 Regarding point 17 on page 6 on which there is contention and which reads:

" Secular state":

It was agreed this issue impacts on TC 4's mandate. Decision should therefore stand over until TC 4 submits its report on freedom of religion. This issue also affects the Preamble which will be dealt with by TC 1 in Block Ten. It was noted that the first point under non-contentious aspects would become contentious in the light of Mr Marais' earlier corrections to the report.

5.19 The committee concluded discussion on the report and thanked Theme Committee 1 for the report.

6. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

6.1 REPORT FROM SUB-COMMITTEE ON NOMINATIONS FOR TECHNICAL EXPERTS

- 6.1.1 The report from the subcommittee to reccomend the appointment of technical experts to technical committees dated 13 March 1995 was accepted.
- 6.1.2 The following appointments were made:
- i) Prof Dlamini would replace Prof Thomashausen in TC 1;
- ii) Prof B Mqeke would replace Prof Dlamini on the ad hoc technical committee on traditional leaders:
- iii) Prof Raath, Prof W Breytenback and Prof H Corder were appointed to the ad hoc committee on self-determination:
- iv) Mr Louis Van Zyl and Ms Christine Qunta were appointed to TC 6.2 to dealt with the itme of the Public Protector;
- v) Mr Andrew Boraine, Mr Blake Mosley and Mr Andre Cornellisson were appointed to the ad hoc committee on local government.

6.2 PROPOSAL ON WORK PROGRAMME

- 6.2.1 Mr Wessels reported that the Cabinet had requested that the CA and CC should not meet on Mondays too allow Ministers to spend Monday and Tuesday with their departments and also participate in the CC and CA. A suggestion was made to the meeting that Theme Committees meet on Monday mornings and evenings and that Parliament convenes on Monday afternoon. Further, that the CC and/or CA would meet on Friday mornings.
- 6.2.2 The suggestion was not agreed to with various concerns being raised in the meeting. These concerns centred on the limited no. of airtickets available to MP's as opposed to Ministers, the fragmentation of CA work and the need to cater for parents in the light of evening meeting times.
- 6.2.3 It was agreed the Chairpersons would convey the feelings of the meeting to the Leader of the House and the matter would be finalised after further discussion.

6.3 DOCUMENT ON CPM'S FROM MRS RABINOWITZ

- 6.3.1 The Chairperson announced that a document addressed to the Constitutional Committee by Mrs Rabinowitz on the Constitutional Public Meetings (CPM's) of 11 March 1995 had been handed to the Administration by a member of the press and that certain allegations against him were made in the document.
- 6.3.2 It was agreed the matter of CPM's would be addressed in the Management Committee on Thursday 16 March 1995.
- 6.3.3 On the procedure followed by Mrs Rabinowitz,it was noted that the Constitutional Committee felt it had been shabbily treated and that documents addressed to a meeting should not be handed to the press prior to the meeting itself receiving such documents. It would not help matters to raise issues in this manner and members of the Constitutional Committee should be treated with more respect.
- 6.3.4 The Chairperson said he took exception to a political party wishing to sensationalise matters in this manner. He said he would now be bound to respond to the press on the same matter. However, after an appeal from the NP who stated that they also had problems with the CPM's but they had agreed to deal with these at the Management Committee, he agreed to review this decision. It was pointed out that the Management Committee would only meet on Thursday. It was finally agreed the Chairperson and Administration would consider the matter and decide how to best deal with it.

7. CLOSURE

There being no other business, the meeting rose at 17h30.